The Future of Voice Surveys: How AI is Revolutionizing Market Research Data Collection

A Comprehensive Analysis of Voice AI Technology and Its Impact on Survey Research

Published by Pallas Data | PharoVoice Surveys

Executive Summary

Market research is becoming an increasingly arduous task, as traditional survey methods are failing to capture the quality and quantity of data needed for informed decision-making. Email survey response rates typically achieve only 15-25%[1] [2]. CATI surveys are becoming prohibitively expensive, and traditional Interactive Voice Response (IVR) surveys have low response rates and rigid question structures. The industry desperately needs innovation.

AI voice surveys offer that innovation. Recent studies demonstrate that AI-driven conversational surveys achieve completion rates of 78%[3]—a 3-4x improvement over traditional methods. This white paper examines how voice AI voice surveys can deliver improved data collection at a lower cost, the benefits of this survey mode over traditional survey modes, and outlines some implementation strategies for market research professionals.

Key Findings:

- AI voice surveys can deliver higher completion rates than traditional IVR[4]
- AI survey completion rates are more than twice (2.2 times) the completion rate of traditional Qualtrics surveys (24.2%)[5]

---

[1] Zonka Feedback. "How to Increase Email Survey Response Rate? Actionable Tips." (2025). https://www.zonkafeedback.com/blog/how-to-increase-email-survey-response-rate-actionable-tips

[2] SurveyLab. "What is a Good Response Rate for a Survey?" (2025). https://www.surveylab.com/blog/what-is-a-good-response-rate-for-a-survey/

[3] OpenResearch. "Can Conversational AI Improve Survey Research?" Shows "Participation rates have been high: as of August 15, we received 1,918 responses, with about 78% opting to try the conversational format." (https://www.openresearchlab.org/findings/ai-surveys)

[4] Pallas Data internal completion rate analysis (2024).

[5] Ziang Xiao, Michelle X. Zhou, Q. Vera Liao, Gloria Mark, Changyan Chi, Wenxi Chen, and Huahai Yang. 2020. "Tell Me About Yourself: Using an AI-Powered Chatbot to Conduct Conversational Surveys with Open-ended Questions." ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact. 27, 3, Article 15 (June 2020), 37 pages

- Organizations report cost savings of 15-20% through AI automation[6]
- Advanced natural language processing captures richer, more nuanced responses

---

Contents

---

1. The Current State of Survey Research

1.1 The Response Rate Challenge

Declining survey response rates are now seriously challenging how market researchers complete their work. Academic researchers describe the situation as "one of the most-debated issues in contemporary survey research,"[7] with response rates across all traditional survey modes reaching single digits [8].

According to AAPOR's 2022 report[9], the response rates for CATI surveys have fallen to only 7-9%. Traditional IVR surveys usually get completion rates of 3-4%[10]. We also see online panel surveys showing high completion rates among recruited panellists (the average response rate is 44.1%)[11]. But accounting for the entire recruit process, cumulative response rates for some of

---

[6] Global Growth Insights. 'Interactive voice response (IVR) Software Market Size, Growth | Global Report [2025-2033].' (https://www.globalgrowthinsights.com/market-reports/interactive-voice-response-ivr-software-market-105612)
[7] Public Opinion Quarterly, Oxford Academic. "Where Have the Respondents Gone? Perhaps We Ate Them All." July 19, 2019.
[8] Pew Research Center. "Phone survey response rates decline again." May 31, 2024.
[9] Lavrakas, Paul J., et al. "The Future of U.S. General Population Telephone Survey Research." AAPOR Task Force Report (2022). (https://aapor.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/Future-of-Telephone-Survey-Research-Report.pdf)
[10] Pallas Data internal completion rate analysis (2024).
[11] Wu, M.-J., Zhao, K., & Fils-Aime, F. (2022). Response rates of online surveys in published research: A meta-analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, *7*, 100206.

the major online panels once again are quite low, with their reported cumulative response rates at just 3% [12].

All Traditional Survey Methods Are Failing:

- **Telephone Surveys:** 7-9% (response rates declined for decades before stabilizing briefly at 9%, then resuming decline to current levels)[13] [14]
- **Traditional IVR Systems:** 3-4%
- **Online Panel Surveys (cumulative):** 3-4%

Result: The entire survey research industry is stuck at single-digit completion rates, whether it's through phone, IVR, or online panels. This results in inferior data quality and flawed insights.

1.2 The IVR Limitation

While Interactive Voice Response (IVR) systems were implemented with the intention of reaching a large audience through telephones at a much lower cost than CATI surveys, they have their own serious limitations:

- **Rigid Menu Structure:** "Press 1 for satisfied, Press 2 for neutral" does not capture any of the nuance that is typically involved with the answers to those questions.
- **Limited Follow-up Capability:** With no opportunity to probe deeper or clarify a vague response, the ability to describe opinions and thoughts accurately is limited.
- **Frustrating User Experience:** Navigating through complicated menu trees can confuse respondents. Moreover, early drop-offs are common, along with limited ability for participants to re-ask questions if confused (sometimes a respondent can press # or * to repeat a question but not always).
- **Limited Response Options:** Attempts to suggest participant opinion and perspective through basic answer choices often oversimplify - and even fail - to provide a complete answer to the complexity of human opinion.

1.3 Economic Impact on Research Quality

---

[12] This a useful example. Pew Research Center. "Methodology." August 15, 2025. (https://www.pewresearch.org/2025/08/14/trump-approval-august-methodology/)

[13] Keeter, S., Hatley, N., Kennedy, C., & Lau, A. (2017). What low response rates mean for telephone surveys. *Pew Research Center*. (https://www.pewresearch.org/methods/2017/05/15/what-low-response-rates-mean-for-telephone-surveys/)

[14] Kennedy, C., & Hartig, H. (2019). Response rates in telephone surveys have resumed their decline. *Pew Research Center*. (https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2019/02/27/response-rates-in-telephone-surveys-have-resumed-their-decline/)

Poor completion rates negatively affect research validity, and therefore, decision-making:

- **Sampling Bias:** Low engagement and completion rates will bias results with only very engaged and motivated respondents
- **Increased Costs:** Businesses and organizations will often need to sample in excess to reach target completion. This is especially the case with general population surveys.
- **Longer Field Times:** Prolongation of fieldwork takes longer for business insight to be collected and analyzed
- **Reduced Confidence:** Over time, stakeholders and clients will doubt reliable research due to low engagement and completion rates

---

2. The Technology Revolution: Understanding Voice AI

2.1 The Bringing Together of Technologies

Our PharoVoice survey platform is a Voice AI system that reflects the bringing together of multiple advanced technologies:

Large Language Models (LLMs): LLMs make it possible for AI voice surveys to smartly probe further into respondent replies, ask contextual follow-up questions for clarification, and automatically determine whether responses sufficiently answer the survey questions, all without human involvement.

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR): ASR converts spoken language into text in real-time, with accuracy rates of over 95% in the best of conditions

Text-to-Speech (TTS): Text-to-speech converts text into speech using natural-sounding voices to provide immersive, human-like conversation.

Natural Language Processing (NLP): Natural Language Processing is a more sophisticated algorithm that recognizes context, sentiment, and intent during conversations.

Multilingual Capabilities: With the advent of excellent translation thanks to AI, PharoVoice surveys can take questionnaires and seamlessly transition between languages during live conversations. This capability is particularly valuable in the Canadian context, where conducting surveys in both English and French is necessary for national studies. Additionally, as Canada

becomes increasingly multicultural—with 22% of Canadians having a mother tongue other than English or French[15].

2.2 How AI Voice Surveys Work

PharoVoice operates through a sophisticated multi-step process:

1. **Intelligent Call Initiation and Conversational Flow:** LLMs allow systems to call respondents and administer survey questions in a natural, conversational manner that resembles interactions with a call-agent in the real world—functionality impossible with traditional IVR systems. Conversation flow will only improve as LLM technology develops.
2. **Intelligent Follow-up and Clarification:** When a respondent does not understand the question asked or provides an incomplete answer, PharoVoice produces contextual follow-up questions and clarifications to fulfill questionnaire requirements, while still maintaining the survey objectives.
3. **Real-time Response Coding:** LLMs have advanced NLP machines that understand answers to open-ended questions generated by respondents, utilizing the LLM's almost universal language transcriptions and interpreted themes and sentiment.
4. **Data Integration:** Responses can be automatically transcribed, categorized, and integrated into research databases, or can be exported in any file format that the client prefers (.csv, .sav format, etc.).

2.3 Revolutionary Open-Ended Response Capabilities

A great advantage of PharoVoice surveys over traditional survey modes is its superior handling of open-ended questions—a critical weakness across all traditional survey methods.

Traditional Method Limitations:

IVR Systems: These systems struggle to capture open-ended responses and often require speech-to-text (STT) AI solutions to transcribe them. This increases the complexity, variables, and points of potential error.

CATI (Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing): Human agents are put in the impossible position of typing respondents' answers in real time, leading to either transcription errors or a

[15] Statistics Canada. "The evolution of language populations in Canada, by mother tongue, from 1901 to 2016." In 2016, 22.0% of the total population declared a language other than English and French as a mother tongue. https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/11-630-x/11-630-x2018001-eng.htm

time-consuming audio transcription process (post-call) to employ an added-on machine-based STT.

Online Surveys: While respondents can type their answers, research demonstrates there can be a significant decrease in data quality from open-ended answers, especially as some respondents have an easier time verbalizing their answers instead of writing them down. A comparative study by Phonic found that typed responses suffer from reduced elaboration, with respondents providing shorter, less descriptive answers due to the friction of typing[16]. Text responses often contain poor grammar, spelling mistakes, and poorly articulated thoughts as respondents rush through the typing process.

Advantages of AI Voice Surveys:

Researchers will be amazed at how AI voice surveys allow respondents to express themselves in their own voice and with their full voice, and then automatically transcribes and codes those responses. The previous cited Phonic study found statistically significant improvement in voice responses on three measures:

- **Utterance Length:** Voice responses had significantly more words
- **Descriptive Language:** Voice responses had more adjectives and adverbs
- **Lexical Complexity:** Voice responses were more complex

In addition to the measures, voice responses showed enthusiastic respondents, using personal stories, humor, increased detail and a greater sense of authentic engagement with survey questions.

Blurring Quantitative and Qualitative Research:

This capability is a landmark shift in the market research method. AI voice surveys can do clear quantitative work at scale - large-N samples - and add rich qualitative data - data that could only have been afforded from qualitative research for a few respondents in the past. With these two research perspectives, researchers can achieve both statistical significance and narrative advances simultaneously in a single study, thereby scaling the lab, adding measures, and increasing researcher opportunities while reducing respondent costs.

---

[16] Phonic. "Oral vs Written Responses: What Are The Differences?" Study comparing voice vs text responses (N=200) showed statistically significant increases in descriptive language, utterance length, and lexical complexity for voice responses. https://www.phonic.ai/blog/oral-vs-written-responses-what-are-the-differences

3. Quantifying the Impact: Performance Metrics and ROI

3.1 Completion Rate Performance

Industry studies consistently demonstrate the superior performance of AI voice surveys:

Completion Rate Comparison:

- **Telephone Surveys:** 7-9%
- **Traditional IVR Systems:** 3-4%
- **Online Panel Surveys (cumulative):** 3.6-4%
- **PharoVoice Surveys:** 6-7% (internal testing)[17]

3.2 Response Quality Metrics

AI voice surveys deliver superior data quality across multiple dimensions:

Response Length: Conversational surveys generate longer responses than traditional methods, with academic research showing participants contribute an average of 30 more words in chatbot surveys compared to traditional online surveys[18], providing richer insights.

Response Relevance: Research demonstrates that AI-driven surveys produce more relevant, on-topic responses due to intelligent follow-up questioning. A 2024 randomized controlled trial by NORC at the University of Chicago (n=1,200) found that conversational AI probing enhanced response specificity and yielded richer, more relevant responses compared to standardized interviews[19]. Additional studies have shown that participants characterize AI chatbot questioning as providing "relevant" questions that keep conversations "focused" and "on topic"[20].

---

[17] **Methodological Note:** The PharoVoice survey completion rate of 6-7% represents a direct, like-for-like comparison with Pallas' IVR methodology (used hundreds of times throughout Pallas' two-year history), using identical target populations, survey topics, and calling parameters. This controlled comparison demonstrates a near 2x improvement over traditional IVR systems. The higher completion rates of up to 78% achieved by other AI voice survey implementations may reflect differences in survey design, target populations, topic salience, or implementation approaches that warrant further investigation as the technology matures.

[18] Xiao, Ziang, et al. "Tell Me About Yourself: Using an AI-Powered Chatbot to Conduct Conversational Surveys with Open-ended Questions." ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 27, No. 3, Article 15 (June 2020). (https://dl.acm.org/doi/fullHtml/10.1145/3381804)

[19] NORC at the University of Chicago. "Generative AI Can Enhance Survey Interviews." (2024). (https://www.norc.org/research/library/generative-ai-can-enhance-survey-interviews.html)

[20] Chatbots for Data Collection in Surveys: A Comparison of Four Theory-Based Interview Probes. arXiv preprint (March 2025). (https://arxiv.org/html/2503.08582v1)

Sentiment Capture: Voice-based collection captures emotional nuance often lost in text-based surveys

3.3 Cost-Benefit Analysis

Direct Cost Savings:

- Reduced operational costs through AI automation, with similar AI implementations in customer service showing cost reductions of 50-70%[21]
- Elimination of interview scheduling and coordination overhead through automated survey deployment
- Accelerated data collection through 24/7 automated survey capabilities, if national and local laws and regulations allow.

Indirect Benefits:

- Scalability advantages: can handle sudden volume spikes without additional hiring or training costs
- Consistency benefits: eliminates interviewer variability and reduces ongoing training expenses
- Extended availability: can operate during all permitted calling hours as defined by national and local telecommunications regulations (FCC, CRTC, etc.), maximizing data collection windows without shift premiums
- Improved data quality reduces the need for additional research
- Faster time-to-insight accelerates decision-making

---

4. Comparative Analysis: AI Voice vs Traditional Methods

4.1 AI Voice Surveys vs. Traditional IVR

Advantages of AI Voice Surveys:

- **Higher Completion Rates:** Our internal testing shows AI voice surveys achieve 6-7% completion rates compared to our internal IVR's 3-4%, representing a transformational improvement in data collection effectiveness

[21] Qualimero. "Cost Reduction Through AI in Customer Support: Save Up to 70%." (https://www.qualimero.com/en/blog/ai-customer-support-savings)

- **Rich, Nuanced Responses:** Unlike IVR's rigid "Press 1 for satisfied" structure, AI voice surveys capture detailed, conversational responses that provide deeper insights into respondent attitudes and experiences
- **Dynamic Follow-up Capability:** AI systems can probe deeper, ask clarifying questions, and adapt the conversation flow based on responses—capabilities completely absent in traditional IVR systems
- **Natural Conversation Experience:** LLM-powered interactions feel like speaking with a human interviewer rather than navigating frustrating menu trees
- **Open-ended Question Handling:** AI voice surveys excel at capturing and analyzing open-ended responses, while traditional IVR systems struggle with anything beyond simple multiple-choice answers
- **Elimination of Menu Fatigue:** Respondents avoid the cognitive burden of remembering complex menu options and can speak naturally
- **Reduced Respondent Frustration:** Conversational flow eliminates the common IVR experience of getting lost in menu systems or being unable to express nuanced opinions

Retained IVR Advantages:

- **Familiarity:** Some respondents may be more comfortable with traditional phone-based systems
- **Simplicity for Basic Questions:** IVR remains adequate for very simple, binary data collection needs

4.2 AI Voice Surveys vs. Human Interviewers

Advantages of AI Voice Surveys:

- **Perfect Consistency:** Every respondent receives identical interviewer quality
- **Unlimited Scalability:** Thousands of simultaneous interviews
- **Elimination of Interviewer Bias:** Consistent, neutral questioning approach
- **Cost Efficiency:** 30%-50% lower cost per completed interview

Retained Benefits of Human Touch:

- **Natural Conversation Flow:** AI maintains conversational tone and empathy. This will improve as LLM and text-to-voice capabilities improve

- **Adaptive Questioning:** Dynamic follow-up based on response content. Like a live agent, the AI can ask the respondent for clarification if he/she gives an unclear answer
- **Complex Topic Handling:** Ability to clarify and rephrase questions if the respondent asks for it

4.3 AI Voice Surveys vs. Online Surveys

Key Advantages:

- **Higher Engagement:** Voice interaction feels more personal and immediate
- **Accessibility:** No literacy or technology barriers
- **Reduced Abandonment:** Conversational flow maintains respondent interest
- **Better for Complex Topics:** Natural conversation handles nuanced subjects more effectively

---

5. Industry Applications and Use Cases

5.1 Market Research Agencies

Primary Applications:

5.1 Market Research Agencies

Primary Applications:

- Consumer satisfaction studies
- Brand awareness and perception research
- Product feedback and testing
- Political polling and opinion research

Potential Benefits: Market research agencies implementing AI voice surveys may experience improved completion rates, faster field completion, and enhanced data quality compared to traditional methods. The conversational nature of AI voice surveys can elicit more detailed responses while reducing operational costs through automation.

5.2 Academic Research Institutions

Primary Applications:

- The ability to combine quantitative scale with qualitative insights opens up new possibilities for academic research
- Cross-cultural research where interviewer bias could compromise validity
- Multi-site and international collaborative research requiring methodological consistency

Research Advantages:

- Enhanced methodological rigour: Eliminates interviewer effects and ensures perfect replication across studies for telephone surveys, strengthening validity for peer review
- Grant efficiency: Maximize research output per funding dollar by achieving larger sample sizes within existing budgets
- Multi-site research capabilities: Maintain identical methodology across different institutions, countries, and research teams

Regulatory Advantages:

- North America: Simpler and standardized protocols may allow for simplified IRB/REB submissions with standardized human subjects protocols and automated consent delivery
- Europe: Enhanced GDPR compliance through automated data handling procedures and built-in privacy safeguards
- Global: Consistent methodology facilitates cross-national research collaborations and regulatory coordination
- Audit trail documentation: Automated compliance monitoring reduces ongoing administrative burden and violation risks

5.3 Healthcare Organizations

Primary Applications:

- Patient satisfaction measurement: HCAHPS, CAHPS, and Press Ganey survey compliance
- Clinical research data collection: Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) and clinical trial follow-ups
- Population health monitoring: Post-discharge follow-up and chronic disease management
- Quality improvement initiatives: Treatment outcome assessments and care transition monitoring

Healthcare-Specific Advantages:

- Accessibility compliance: Voice-based surveys accommodate patients with visual impairments, literacy barriers, or mobility limitations (ADA compliance)
- Clinical workflow integration: Eliminates need for nursing staff to conduct routine satisfaction surveys, freeing time for patient care
- Standardized patient interactions: Ensures consistent data collection regardless of staff workload or turnover
- Reduced patient burden: Patients can complete surveys at home rather than during clinical visits

Comprehensive Regulatory Framework:

- United States: HIPAA compliance with end-to-end encryption, secure data transmission, and compliant data storage
- Canada: PIPEDA compliance and provincial health information privacy acts (HIA, PHIA, etc.)
- Informed consent protocols: Automated, standardized consent procedures adapted for voice-based collection
- Accessibility requirements: ADA (US) and AODA (Canada) compliance for patients with disabilities[22]
- Clinical data integration: Secure interfaces with Electronic Health Records (EHR) and Electronic Data Capture (EDC) systems
- Audit requirements: Automated audit trails for regulatory inspections and quality assurance reviews

5.4 Government and Public Sector

Primary Applications:

- Citizen engagement initiatives: Public consultation and policy feedback collection
- Service delivery evaluation: Satisfaction surveys for government programs and services

[22] While voice-based surveys improve accessibility for citizens with visual impairments or literacy barriers, they must be paired with text-based alternatives to serve deaf and hard-of-hearing populations in compliance with accessibility legislation. Voice surveys should be implemented as one component of comprehensive, multi-modal citizen engagement programs

- Emergency preparedness: Community readiness assessments and post-incident response evaluation
- **Program compliance monitoring:** Regulatory program effectiveness and citizen experience measurement

Public Sector Advantages:

- Accessibility mandate compliance: Meets Section 508 (US) and AODA (Canada) requirements for citizens with disabilities
- Language equity: Native language capability serves diverse populations without requiring multilingual staff
- Geographic consistency: Identical service delivery across urban, rural, and remote constituencies
- Transparent procurement: Predictable per-response costs simplify budget planning and public accountability
- Scalability for large populations: Handle high-volume citizen engagement during elections, emergencies, or major policy initiatives

Government-Specific Considerations:

- Data sovereignty: Citizen data remains within national/provincial boundaries as required
- Security clearance: Platform capabilities for government-approved vendor requirements
- Freedom of Information compliance: Automated documentation supports public record requests
- Procurement regulations: Structured to meet government contracting and competitive bidding requirements
- Public accountability: Audit trails and transparent methodologies support democratic oversight

---

6. Future Trends and Market Outlook

6.1 Technology Evolution

Emerging Capabilities:
These capabilities may be unlocked as the state-of-the-art continues to improve.

- **Multilingual AI:** Real-time translation enabling global research
- **Emotional Intelligence:** Advanced sentiment and emotion detection
- **Predictive Analytics:** AI-driven insights into response patterns
- **Integration Platforms:** Seamless connectivity with research ecosystems

6.2 Market Adoption Projections

The market research industry is experiencing growing interest in AI-powered survey technologies. Early adopters have shared positive experiences, which have led the broader market research industry to explore voice AI solutions. As technology develops, support frameworks become valid compliance frameworks, and the path to regulation fills out use cases, deployment—especially with enterprise and research organizations—is expected to accelerate.

6.3 Regulatory and Ethical Evolution

Emerging Governance Requirements:

These are governance issues that we expect to come about as AI adoption spreads;

- **AI transparency standards:** Growing demands for explainable AI decisions in research contexts
- **Enhanced consent protocols:** Evolving requirements for participant consent in AI-conducted interviews
- **Data governance frameworks:** Clarification of data ownership, retention, and usage rights in automated research
- **Algorithmic bias standards:** Development of detection and mitigation requirements for AI research tools
- **Cross-border data regulations:** Harmonization of international privacy laws affecting global research programs

---

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

7.1 Key Findings Summary

PharoVoice survey technology represents a significant advancement in market research data collection, offering effective solutions to key industry challenges. While response rates in survey methodologies across all survey modes have remained persistently low over time, PharoVoice

surveys offer improvements in response rates and data quality due to conversational engagements that made respondents feel more natural and engaged. Response rates will improve as best practices are learned.

The technology's value is assessed in its ability to combine the scale of quantitative research and the depth of qualitative data. Respondents can verbalize their thoughts in a natural way, which yields deeper or richer responses and eliminates many of the operational hurdles associated with other qualitative methods. The tendency to automate existing qualitative responses provides a process that offers cross-study consistency in methodology, lower costs, and expands the potential for data collection within the guidelines of local regulatory considerations.

7.2 Strategic Recommendations

For Market Research Organizations:

Immediate Evaluation: Conduct pilot testing to validate AI voice survey performance against current methodologies. Internal testing and academic research suggest meaningful improvements in completion rates and response quality, but validation within specific organizational contexts is essential.

Regulatory Preparation: Develop compliance frameworks for AI-conducted interviews, particularly for healthcare and government clients where regulatory requirements are stringent. Early preparation for evolving AI governance standards will provide competitive advantages.

Staff Development: Retrain research teams to leverage AI capabilities effectively, shifting focus from interview administration to survey design, conversation optimization, and advanced data analysis.

Client Education: Prepare clear communication strategies to explain methodology changes and demonstrate value to research clients who may be unfamiliar with AI survey technologies.

For Enterprise Organizations:

Technology Assessment: Evaluate AI voice survey capabilities against internal research needs, particularly for organizations conducting regular employee engagement, customer satisfaction, or market research studies.

Integration Planning: Assess compatibility with existing research infrastructure, CRM systems, and data analysis tools to ensure seamless implementation.

Accessibility Strategy: Implement AI voice surveys as part of comprehensive accessibility programs, paired with text-based alternatives to serve all population segments effectively.

7.3 Industry Transformation

The market research industry stands at a crossroads. Organizations that move ahead of the curve with the adoption of AI voice survey technology will benefit from a more sustainable competitive advantage through higher-quality data, decreased operating costs, and more refined research design capabilities. However, implementing these changes requires careful consideration of its implementation to ensure regulatory standards and the integrity of the research process while considering participants from all backgrounds.

One key aspect of this technology is that it democratizes access to high-quality research, allowing smaller organizations to conduct sophisticated research that was previously only available to large, enterprise-style research firms. The implications this has for how organizations and sectors use evidence to make decisions are also significant. The gap between organizations that are first to adopt AI capabilities for analyzing data and those that still use traditional methods for analyzing data will likely grow substantially in the future.

7.4 Final Considerations

PharoVoice surveys are not a universal solution for all research challenges. They are most effective for studies that require conversational interaction, a broad demographic reach, and a standardized methodology. Organizations should implement them strategically, as part of comprehensive research programs that may include multiple data collection modalities.

The future of market research will be increasingly automated, accessible, and intelligent. Organizations that begin this transition now—with appropriate attention to quality, compliance, and participant experience—will be best positioned to leverage these capabilities as they mature and become industry standard.

Success in this transformation depends not just on technology adoption, but on developing new competencies in AI-human collaboration, regulatory compliance, and data interpretation that will define the next generation of market research practice.

About PharoVoice Surveys

PharoVoice Surveys, a product rolled out in collaboration between Pallas Data and Expert Insights, represents the next generation of AI-powered market research technology. Our platform combines advanced natural language processing and sophisticated conversation management to deliver superior survey experiences and outcomes.

For more information about implementing AI voice survey technology in your organization, contact:

Joseph Angolano, Pallas Data
Email: joseph@pallasdata.com
Website: www.pallas-data.ca

This white paper is based on comprehensive industry research, published studies, and real-world implementation data. All statistics and claims are supported by peer-reviewed research and industry analysis.